

# Selectivity in State Aid Law and the Methods for the Allocation of the Corporate Tax Base

Jérôme Monsenego



Wolters Kluwer

# Table of Contents

About the Author	v
Foreword	xiii
Preface	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xix
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Problem Statement	1
1.2 Purpose of the Study and Expected Contribution	8
1.3 Limitations	9
1.4 Previous Research	11
1.5 Method of Research	13
1.6 Outline	18
PART I	
Elements of the Selectivity Test That Are Common to the Three Allocation Methods	21
CHAPTER 2	
Determination of the Reference System	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 The Relevance of Article 107(1) of the TFEU for the Determination of the Reference System	25
2.2.1 Introductory Remarks and Peculiarities of the Allocation Methods	25
2.2.2 Does the <i>Forum 187</i> Judgment Imply an Obligation to Apply the Arm's Length Principle as an Allocation Method?	30

## Table of Contents

---

2.2.3	Does Article 107(1) of the TFEU Imply a General Principle of Equal Treatment That Requires the Application of the Arm's Length Principle?	34
2.2.3.1	Introductory Remarks	34
2.2.3.2	The Arm's Length Principle and the Principle of Equal Treatment	36
2.2.3.3	The Principle of Equal Treatment and Alternative Allocation Methods	41
2.2.3.4	Concluding Remarks	43
2.3	The Determination of the Material Content of the Reference System	44
2.3.1	Determination of the Scope of the Reference System	45
2.3.2	Determination of the Sources of Law That Constitute the Reference System	52
2.4	Conclusion	55
CHAPTER 3		
	Potential Relevance of the Market Economy Operator Test	57
3.1	Introduction	57
3.2	May the Market Economy Operator Test Have a Binding Effect on the Selectivity Assessment of the Allocation Methods?	58
3.3	Interpretation of the Effects of the Market Forces under the Market Economy Operator Test	63
3.3.1	Introduction	63
3.3.2	Comparison Between the Market Economy Operator Test and the Arm's Length Principle	64
3.4	The Relevance of the Market Economy Operator Test for the Selectivity Assessment of the Allocation Methods	72
3.5	Conclusion	74
CHAPTER 4		
	Comparability Analysis	75
4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Determination of the Objective of the Tax System	75
4.3	The Comparability Between Different Categories of Undertakings	82
4.3.1	Introduction	82
4.3.2	Assessment of the Relevance of the Notion of Comparability in Free Movement Law for State Aid Law Purposes	83
4.3.3	Comparability from a Factual Perspective	85
4.3.4	Comparability from a Legal Perspective	95
4.4	Conclusion	101
PART II		
	Selectivity Assessment of the Three Allocation Methods	103

CHAPTER 5		
	Prima Facie Selectivity of a Generally Formulated Arm's Length Provision	111
5.1	Introduction	111
5.2	De Jure Selectivity	111
	5.2.1 The Limited Corrective Effect of the Arm's Length Principle	112
	5.2.2 The Assumed Correctness of the Benchmark Provided by the Average Effect of the Market Forces	114
5.3	De Facto Selectivity	116
	5.3.1 The Importance Given to the Parameters Chosen in the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines	117
	5.3.2 The Approximation of Results for Intercompany Transactions with No Direct Benchmark Provided by the Open Market	119
5.4	Prima Facie Selectivity That Stems from Certain Aspects of the Application of the Law	124
	5.4.1 The Choice of a Transfer Pricing Method	125
	5.4.2 The Relevance of Sources of Interpretation for the Assessment of Deviations from the Reference System	132
	5.4.3 The Assessment of Deviations from the Reference System in Relation to the Approximation of Values	136
5.5	Conclusion	144
CHAPTER 6		
	Prima Facie Selectivity of Transfer Pricing Safe Harbours	147
6.1	Introduction	147
6.2	De Jure Selectivity	148
	6.2.1 Transfer Pricing Safe Harbours That Enforce the Arm's Length Principle	148
	6.2.2 Transfer Pricing Safe Harbours That Deviate from the Arm's Length Principle	150
6.3	De Facto Selectivity	154
6.4	Conclusion	155
CHAPTER 7		
	Prima Facie Selectivity of a System of Formula Apportionment with Firm-Specific Factors	157
7.1	Introduction	157
7.2	De Jure Selectivity	159
7.3	De Facto Selectivity	161
	7.3.1 Introduction	161
	7.3.2 Is a System of Formula Apportionment with Firm-Specific Factors a General or a Selective Measure?	163
	7.3.2.1 The Sales Factor	165

## Table of Contents

---

	7.3.2.2	The Assets Factor	167
	7.3.2.3	The Labour Factor	168
	7.3.2.4	Conclusion	169
7.3.3		The Parameters of a System of Formula Apportionment That May Influence the De Facto Selectivity Test	170
	7.3.3.1	Number and Spread of the Apportionment Factors	170
	7.3.3.2	Connection of the Apportionment Factors to the Concept of Income	172
	7.3.3.3	Connection of the Apportionment Factors to the Market Forces	176
7.4		Conclusion	178
CHAPTER 8			
		The Justification and Proportionality Tests	181
8.1		Introduction	181
8.2		The Justification of an Allocation Method Limited to Members of Multinational Enterprises	186
8.3		The Justification and Proportionality Tests Applied to the Selective Aspects of a Generally Formulated Arm's Length Provision	189
	8.3.1	The Limited Corrective Effect of a Generally Formulated Arm's Length Provision	189
	8.3.2	Prima Facie Selectivity for Situations That Lack a Direct Benchmark Provided by the Open Market	192
8.4		The Justification and Proportionality Tests Applied to the Selective Features of Transfer Pricing Safe Harbours	194
8.5		The Justification and Proportionality Tests Applied to the Selective Aspects of a System of Formula Apportionment with Firm-Specific Factors	196
	8.5.1	De Jure Selectivity	197
	8.5.2	De Facto Selectivity	201
8.6		Conclusion	203
CHAPTER 9			
		Conclusion	205
9.1		Introduction	205
9.2		Main Learnings from the Study	205
9.3		Classification of the Allocation Methods from the Least to the Most Selective One	208
		Bibliography	213
		Table of Cases	227
		Table of Legislation	233

European Commission	235
OECD Council Recommendations	239
Index	241