

# Contents

---

|          |                                                          |           |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
|          | <i>Acknowledgements</i>                                  | page xi   |
|          | <i>List of abbreviations</i>                             | xii       |
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                      | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>The minimalist program</b>                            | <b>6</b>  |
| 2.1      | Introduction                                             | 6         |
| 2.2      | Chomskyan linguistics: refutation of some misconceptions | 6         |
| 2.2.1    | The combinatoric stage                                   | 7         |
| 2.2.2    | The cognitive stage                                      | 10        |
| 2.2.3    | The minimalist stage                                     | 18        |
| 2.2.4    | Concluding remarks                                       | 21        |
| 2.3      | The shift to minimalism                                  | 22        |
| 2.4      | UG: from an <i>explanans</i> to an <i>explanandum</i>    | 31        |
| 2.5      | Minimalism and the design of language                    | 35        |
| 2.5.1    | The model of grammar: from GB to minimalism              | 36        |
| 2.5.2    | Merge, Move, and Agree                                   | 38        |
| 2.5.3    | Economy                                                  | 41        |
| 2.6      | Why minimalism?                                          | 43        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>The strong minimalist thesis (SMT)</b>                | <b>51</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction                                             | 51        |
| 3.2      | Conceptual necessity: a first encounter                  | 52        |
| 3.3      | SMT as a strict generalization                           | 55        |
| 3.4      | The imperfection strategy                                | 61        |
| 3.5      | Conceptual necessity: a second encounter                 | 65        |
| 3.6      | Some shortcomings                                        | 69        |
| 3.7      | The three factors framework                              | 73        |
| 3.8      | Two discourses, one thesis?                              | 78        |

|          |                                                     |            |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>4</b> | <b>The SMT in an evolutionary context</b>           | <b>82</b>  |
| 4.1      | Introduction                                        | 82         |
| 4.2      | The recursion-only hypothesis                       | 83         |
| 4.3      | The content of FLN: two extreme views               | 92         |
| 4.4      | The where and how of recursion                      | 97         |
| 4.5      | The Merge-only hypothesis                           | 102        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>The SMT as an explanatory thesis</b>             | <b>109</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction                                        | 109        |
| 5.2      | Minimalist explanation: interface conditions        | 109        |
| 5.3      | Tautology                                           | 113        |
| 5.4      | Teleology                                           | 118        |
| 5.5      | Minimalist explanation: optimal computation         | 121        |
| 5.6      | Loose correlations                                  | 126        |
| 5.7      | Minimalism and teleological physics                 | 133        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Optimal computation and multiple realization</b> | <b>143</b> |
| 6.1      | Introduction                                        | 143        |
| 6.2      | Chomskyan naturalism                                | 144        |
| 6.3      | Optimal computation and non-genomic nativism        | 147        |
| 6.4      | Functionalism                                       | 152        |
| 6.5      | The multiple realization argument                   | 158        |
| 6.6      | Functionalism and naturalism: uneasy bed partners   | 161        |
| 6.7      | Chomsky's case against functionalism                | 164        |
| 6.8      | Optimal computation versus multiple realization     | 170        |
| 6.9      | Implications for the biolinguistic approach         | 173        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Conclusion</b>                                   | <b>178</b> |
|          | <i>Notes</i>                                        | 185        |
|          | <i>References</i>                                   | 197        |
|          | <i>Index</i>                                        | 210        |