

Logic
Hegel
Ym A

COMMENTARY
ON
HEGEL'S LOGIC

BY

JOHN McTAGGART ELLIS McTAGGART

DOCTOR IN LETTERS, FELLOW AND LECTURER OF TRINITY COLLEGE
IN CAMBRIDGE, FELLOW OF THE BRITISH ACADEMY

Cambridge :
at the University Press
1910

108605-A
9.11.3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

	PAGE
1. Object of this book	1
2. Previous writers on the same subject	1
3. Relative authority of the <i>Greater Logic</i> and the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	2
4. Terminology adopted in this book	3
5. Errors of Hegel concerning the dialectic method. He exaggerates the objectivity of the dialectic process	5
6. And also its comprehensiveness	6
7. Errors in particular transitions—sometimes caused by his failure to confine the process to the existent	7
8. Sometimes by his desire to include conceptions of importance in science	8
9. Sometimes by confusion between categories and the concrete states after which they are named	8
10. Errors in <i>Studies in the Hegelian Dialectic</i> (a) as to the tran- scendental character of the process	10
11. (b) As to the change in method in the later categories	11
12. The same continued	11
13. (c) As to the relation between a Synthesis and the next Thesis	12

CHAPTER II

QUALITY

14. Divisions of Quality	13
15. <i>I. Being. A Being</i>	15
16. <i>B Nothing</i>	15
17. <i>C. Becoming</i>	17
18. Hegel's conception of Becoming does not involve change	17
19. But the name suggests change, and is therefore misleading	19
20. Alterations in names of categories suggested	20

	PAGE
21. <i>II. Being Determinate. A. Being Determinate as Such</i>	
(a) <i>Being Determinate in General</i>	21
22. (b) <i>Quality</i>	22
23. (c) <i>Something</i>	22
24. Are the divisions of <i>A</i> superfluous?	22
25. Is the introduction of Plurality justified?	24
26. <i>B. Finitude</i> (a) <i>Something and an Other</i>	25
27. (b) <i>Determination, Modification, and Limit</i>	26
28. (c) <i>Finitude</i>	28
29. The divisions within (b) are unjustified	28
30. The Ought and the Barrier in Finitude	29
31. <i>C. Infinity</i>	29
32. (a) <i>Infinity in General</i>	31
33. (b) <i>Reciprocal Determination of the Finite and Infinite</i>	31
34. (c) <i>Affirmative Infinity</i>	32
35. The treatment of Finitude and Infinity in the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	32
36. The same continued	34
37. <i>III. Being for Self. A. Being for Self as Such. (a) Being Determinate and Being for Self</i>	35
38. (b) <i>Being for One</i>	37
39. (c) <i>One</i> . The divisions of <i>A</i> . are unjustified	37
40. <i>B. The One and the Many</i> (a) <i>The One in Itself</i>	38
41. (b) <i>The One and the Void</i>	38
42. (c) <i>Many Ones</i>	39
43. <i>C. Repulsion and Attraction</i> (a) <i>Exclusion of the One</i>	40
44. (b) <i>The one One of Attraction</i>	40
45. (c) <i>The Relation of Repulsion and Attraction</i>	40
46. Transition to Quantity	41

CHAPTER III

QUANTITY

47. Divisions of Quantity	42
48. Hegel's knowledge of mathematics. The bearing of this question on the dialectic	43
49. <i>I. (Undivided) Quantity. A. Pure Quantity</i>	45
50. <i>B. Continuous and Discrete Magnitude</i>	46
51. Defects of this category	47
52. <i>C. Limitation of Quantity</i>	48
53. <i>II. Quantum A. Number</i>	49
54. Possibly all the Ones taken together are finite in number Hegel ignores this possibility, but it does not affect his argument	49
55. The relation of Quantum and Limit	50
56. <i>B. Extensive and Intensive Quantum. (a) Their Difference</i>	51

CONTENTS

ix

	PAGE
57. (b) <i>Identity of Extensive and Intensive Magnitude.</i> Are these on a level, or is Intensive Magnitude higher?	52
58. The latter view seems more probable	54
59. The instability of Quanta	55
60. (c) <i>The Alteration of Quantum</i>	57
61. C. <i>The Quantitative Infinity.</i> (a) <i>Its Notion</i>	58
62. (b) <i>The Quantitative Infinite Progress</i>	60
63. An objection discussed	61
64. (c) <i>The Infinity of Quantum</i>	62
65. Relations between Quality and Quantity	62
66. III. <i>The Quantitative Ratio</i>	63
67. A. <i>The Direct Ratio</i>	64
68. B. <i>The Inverse Ratio</i>	64
69. C. <i>The Ratio of Powers</i>	65
70. The transition to C. is unjustifiable	65
71. And the whole of III. is unjustifiable for more general reasons	66
72. Suggested reconstruction	68
73. The treatment of Quantity in the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	70

CHAPTER IV

MEASURE

74. Divisions of Measure	71
75. Criticism of the transition from Quantity	72
76. The same continued	73
77. Possible reasons for the error	74
78. I. <i>The Specific Quantity.</i> A. <i>The Specific Quantum</i>	75
79. B. <i>Specifying Measure.</i> (a) <i>The Rule</i>	76
80. (b) <i>The Specifying Measure</i>	77
81. Here a new conception of Measure is introduced illegitimately	78
82. (c) <i>Relation of both Sides as Qualities</i>	79
83. C. <i>Being for Self in Measure</i>	80
84. II. <i>Real Measure.</i> A. <i>The Relation of Stable Measures</i>	80
85. (a) <i>Union of two Measures</i>	81
86. (b) <i>Measure as a Series of Measure Relations</i>	81
87. (c) <i>Elective Affinity</i>	82
88. B. <i>Nodal Line of Measure Relations.</i> Here we return to the conception of Measure abandoned in I. B. (b)	83
89. And do so by an illegitimate transition	84
90. C. <i>The Measureless</i>	85
91. III. <i>The Becoming of Essence.</i> A. <i>The Absolute Indifference.</i>	86
92. B. <i>Indifference as Inverse Relation of its Factors</i>	87
93. C. <i>Transition to Essence</i>	88
94. The treatment of Measure in the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	89

CHAPTER V

ESSENCE AS REFLECTION INTO ITSELF

	PAGE
95. Divisions of Essence	91
96. Transition to Essence	92
97. The name Appearance may be misleading	93
98. What is meant by the immediacy of Appearance?	94
99. The name Essence is ambiguous	94
100. <i>I. Show. A. The Essential and Unessential.</i>	95
101. Is the retention of Plurality at this point justifiable?	96
102. The same continued	97
103. Criticism of the transition to the next category	98
104. <i>B. Show.</i>	99
105. <i>C. Reflection (a) Positing Reflection.</i>	100
106. <i>(b) External Reflection.</i>	101
107. <i>(c) Determining Reflection.</i>	101
108. <i>II. The Essentialities or Determinations of Reflection. A.</i> <i>Identity.</i>	103
109. Hegel's treatment of the Law of Identity	103
110. But this Law is not specially connected with Hegel's category of Identity	105
111. <i>B. Difference (a) Absolute Difference.</i>	106
112. <i>(b) Variety.</i>	108
113. Suggested alteration of argument	109
114. Hegel's treatment of Qualities and Relations requires enlarge- ment	111
115. Hegel's treatment of the Principle of the Identity of In- discernibles	112
116. <i>(c) Opposition.</i>	112
117. Criticism of the category of Opposition	114
118. <i>C. Contradiction.</i>	116
119. Suggested reconstruction of this category	117
120. Hegel's treatment of the Law of Excluded Middle	118
121. <i>III. Ground. A. Absolute Ground. (a) Form and Essence.</i>	118
122. <i>(b) Form and Matter.</i>	118
123. <i>(c) Form and Content.</i>	119
124. <i>B. Determined Ground. (a) Formal Ground.</i>	120
125. <i>(b) Real Ground.</i>	120
126. The possibility of sophistry in Ground	123
127. <i>(c) Complete Ground.</i>	123
128. <i>C. Condition. (a) The Relatively Unconditioned.</i>	124
129. <i>(b) The Absolutely Unconditioned.</i>	125
130. <i>(c) Transition of the Fact into Existence.</i>	126
131. Suggested reconstruction of Ground	127

CHAPTER VI

APPEARANCE

	PAGE
132. Divisions of Appearance	128
133. <i>I. Existence</i>	129
134. <i>A. The Thing and its Properties</i>	131
135. <i>(a) The Thing in itself and Existence</i>	132
136. <i>(b) Property</i>	133
137. <i>(c) The Reciprocal Action of Things</i>	135
138. <i>B. The Constitution of the Thing out of Matters</i>	136
139. <i>C. The Dissolution of the Thing</i>	137
140. Criticism of the categories of Existence	138
141. <i>II. Appearance. A. The Law of Appearance</i>	139
142. <i>B. The World of Appearance and the World in itself</i>	140
143. <i>C. The Dissolution of Appearance</i>	142
144. <i>III. Essential Relation. A. The Relation of Whole and Parts</i>	142
145. The same continued	143
146. <i>B. The Relation of Force and its Manifestation (a) The Conditionedness of Force</i>	145
147. <i>(b) The Solicitation of Force</i>	146
148. <i>(c) The Infinity of Force</i>	146
149. Criticism of the divisions of <i>B.</i>	147
150. Suggested reconstruction	148
151. <i>C. The Relation of Inner and Outer</i>	149
Note on the Difference between the <i>Greater Logic</i> and the <i>Encyclopaedia</i> in the first two divisions of Essence	
152. Table of the categories according to the <i>Greater Logic</i> and the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	150
153. Account of the differences	152
154. The same continued	153

CHAPTER VII

ACTUALITY

155. Divisions of Actuality	155
156. <i>I. The Absolute A. The Exposition of the Absolute</i>	156
157. Criticism of the conception of the Absolute	157
158. <i>B. The Absolute Attribute</i>	159
159. Criticism of this category	160
160. <i>C. The Modus of the Absolute</i>	160

	PAGE
161 <i>II Actuality</i>	162
162. <i>A. Contingency, or Formal Actuality, Possibility, and Necessity</i>	162
163. The same continued	164
164 <i>B Relative Necessity, or Real Actuality, Possibility, and Necessity</i>	165
165. <i>C. Absolute Necessity</i>	167
166 <i>III The Absolute Relation. A The Relation of Substantiality</i>	168
167. Suggested reconstruction of the argument by which Substance is reached	169
168. Hegel's remarks on the philosophy of Spinoza	170
169 <i>B The Relation of Causality. (a) Formal Causality</i>	171
170 The transition to Formal Causality is not justifiable	172
171. <i>(b) Determined Causality</i>	173
172 Hegel unduly ignores the differences between Formal and Determined Causality	175
173. He attempts to remove one such difference by asserting the identity of Cause and Effect Criticism of this	176
174 The same continued	177
175 The same continued	179
176. The treatment of Causality in the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	180
177. The Infinite Series of Causes and Effects	180
178. <i>(c) Action and Reaction</i>	181
179 <i>C Reciprocity</i>	182
180. The infinity ascribed by Hegel to Reciprocity	183
181. The treatment of Actuality in the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	184

CHAPTER VIII

SUBJECTIVITY

182 Divisions of Subjectivity	187
183. The significance of the nomenclature in Subjectivity	189
184 The same continued	190
185 Hegel's assertion that Freedom is the Truth of Necessity	191
186 <i>I. The Notion A. The Universal Notion</i>	193
187 Suggested reconstruction of the argument	194
188. The same continued	195
189 <i>B. The Particular Notion</i>	196
190 The same continued	197
191. <i>C. The Individual</i>	198
192 <i>II. The Judgment. A. The Judgment of Inherence. (a) The Positive Judgment</i>	198

	PAGE
193. Transition to the next category	200
194. Criticism of the transition	201
195. (b) <i>The Negative Judgment</i>	202
196. (c) <i>The Infinite Judgment</i>	202
197. The same continued	203
198. B. <i>The Judgment of Subsumption</i>	205
199. The same continued	206
200. (a) <i>The Singular Judgment</i>	208
201. (b) <i>The Particular Judgment</i>	208
202. Transition to the next category	210
203. (c) <i>The Universal Judgment</i>	211
204. C. <i>The Judgment of Necessity</i>	213
205. (a) <i>The Categorical Judgment</i>	213
206. (b) <i>The Hypothetical Judgment</i>	214
207. (c) <i>The Disjunctive Judgment</i>	215
208. Transition to the next category	217
209. D. <i>The Judgment of the Notion.</i> (a) <i>The Assertoric Judgment</i>	217
210. (b) <i>The Problematic Judgment</i>	218
211. (c) <i>The Apodictic Judgment</i>	218
212. Criticism of the Judgment of the Notion	218
213. The same continued	220
214. III. <i>The Syllogism.</i> A. <i>The Qualitative Syllogism.</i> (a) <i>First</i> <i>Figure</i>	221
215. The first defect found by Hegel in this category	222
216. The second defect	224
217. (b) <i>Second Figure</i>	224
218. (c) <i>Third Figure</i>	225
219. (d) <i>Fourth Figure</i>	225
220. Criticism of the Second and Third Figures	226
221. Suggested reconstruction	228
222. B. <i>The Syllogism of Reflection.</i> (a) <i>The Syllogism of Allness</i>	228
223. (b) <i>The Syllogism of Induction</i>	229
224. (c) <i>The Syllogism of Analogy</i>	230
225. Transition to the next category	231
226. Criticism of the Syllogism of Reflection	232
227. C. <i>The Syllogism of Necessity.</i> (a) <i>The Categorical Syllogism</i>	234
228. (b) <i>The Hypothetical Syllogism</i>	236
229. (c) <i>The Disjunctive Syllogism</i>	236
230. The same continued	237
231. Hegel's conception of the Self-Differentiating Notion	238

CHAPTER IX

OBJECTIVITY

	PAGE
232. Divisions of Objectivity	241
233. Significance of the term Objectivity	242
234. Transition from Subjectivity	242
235. Proposed amendment of the transition	243
236. <i>I. Mechanism A. The Mechanical Object</i>	244
237. <i>B. The Mechanical Process</i>	246
238. <i>(a) The Formal Mechanical Process</i>	247
239. <i>(b) The Real Mechanical Process</i>	247
240. <i>(c) The Product of the Mechanical Process</i>	249
241. <i>C. The Absolute Mechanism (a) The Centre</i>	250
242. The example given by Hegel is misleading	252
243. The transition to Chemism in the <i>Encyclopaedia</i>	252
244. <i>(b) The Law</i>	254
245. <i>(c) Transition from Mechanism</i>	254
246. <i>II. Chemism. A. The Chemical Object</i>	255
247. <i>B. The Chemical Process</i>	255
248. Transition to the next category	256
249. Criticism of this transition	256
250. <i>C. Transition from Chemism</i>	257
251. Is there more than one Chemical Notion?	258
252. <i>III. Teleology</i>	259
253. The same continued	260
254. The same continued	261
255. The terms End and Means are misleading	263
256. Are there more Ends than one?	264
257. <i>A. The Subjective End</i>	265
258. <i>B. The Means</i>	265
259. The first argument for the transition to the next category	267
260. The second argument for the transition	268
261. <i>C The Realised End</i>	269

CHAPTER X

THE IDEA

	PAGE
262 Divisions of the Idea	272
263. Transition from Objectivity	272
264 <i>I. Life</i>	274
265. Hegel's view that there are many Organisms	275
266. His view that the Body is an inadequate manifestation of the Seele	276
267. <i>A. The Living Individual</i>	277
268. <i>B. The Life-Process</i>	279
269. <i>C. The Kind</i>	280
270. Criticism of this category	281
271. The inadequacy of the manifestation is shown in Propagation and Death	282
272. Which also provide the escape from this inadequacy	283
273. The same continued	285
274. The same continued	286
275. <i>II. The Idea of Cognition</i>	287
276. The same continued	288
277. Criticism of this category	290
278. The same continued	291
279. <i>A. The Idea of the True</i>	292
280. The same continued	293
281. <i>(a) Analytic Cognition. (b) Synthetic Cognition. Criticism</i> <i>of these categories</i>	295
282. The transition to the Idea of the Good can be made without them	296
283. The transition further considered	298
284. <i>B. The Idea of the Good</i>	299
285. Criticism of this category	300
286. Hegel regards this category as higher than the Idea of the True	300
287. And as involving the complete goodness of the universe	301
288. Transition to the Absolute Idea	302
289. The same continued	303
290. <i>III. The Absolute Idea</i>	303
291. The same continued	304
292. The same continued	306
293. The same continued	307
294. This is the final category The proof of this	308
295. Is the Absolute Idea exemplified in any concrete state known to us?	309
296. Conclusion	310