

# CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. The aim and structure of the study	1
1.2. Ambiguous "imperative participles"	4
1.2.1. Introduction	4
1.2.2. Defining the problematic participles	5
1.2.3. Current explanations	8
1.2.4. The use of the explanations for understanding the participles	12
1.2.5. The most problematic participles	13
1.2.6. Summary	19
1.3. Other ambiguous features	21
1.3.1. Introduction	21
1.3.2. "Indicative" <i>or</i> "imperative" expressions	21
1.3.3. $\omega\varsigma$ -expressions	25
1.3.4. Ambiguous features on contentual level	26
1.3.5. Summary	28
1.4. Placing the problem into a larger context: the historical situation of 1 Peter	30
1.4.1. Introduction	30
1.4.2. Date	30
1.4.3. Authorship	32
1.4.4. The addressees' situation	34
1.4.5. Summary	38
1.5. Conclusion: the need for a new approach	39
2. THE APPROACH	41
2.1. Introduction	41
2.2. What is rhetorical criticism?	42
2.2.1. Introduction	42

2.2.2. Traditional conceptions of rhetorics	47
2.2.3. Modern conceptions of rhetorics	52
2.2.4. Rhetorics and epistolography	57
2.3. An epistolographical approach to the text	65
2.4. A rhetorical method for analyzing the text	68
2.4.1. Introduction	68
2.4.2. The rhetorical units	69
2.4.3. The rhetorical situation	70
2.4.4. The rhetorical disposition	75
2.4.5. The rhetorical devices and style	77
2.4.6. The synchronic whole	78
3. ANALYSIS OF 1 PETER	79
3.1. The epistolary dimension	79
3.1.1. The choice of media, distribution, and reception	79
3.1.1.1. A treatise, a sermon or a letter?	79
3.1.1.2. The epistolary subgenre	81
3.1.2. The epistolary structure	84
3.1.3. Summary	87
3.2. The rhetorical units	89
3.3. The general rhetorical situation	93
3.3.1. Introduction	93
3.3.2. The rhetorical genus	93
3.3.3. The status of the basic issue	98
3.3.4. The premises and general expectations of the audience	101
3.3.5. The addressees' problems and the author's goals	106
3.3.5.1. Introduction	106
3.3.5.2. The 'passive' response	112
3.3.5.3. The 'active' response	119
3.3.5.4. Internal problems	123
3.3.5.5. Conclusion	124
3.4. The rhetorical disposition	126

3.4.1. Introduction	126
3.4.2. The exordium 1,1-12	128
3.4.3. The second, ambiguous unit 1,13-2,10	134
3.4.4. The third rhetorical unit 2,11-3,12	146
3.4.5. The fourth rhetorical unit 3,13-4,11	153
3.4.6. The last argumentative unit 4,12-5,7	157
3.4.7. The peroratio 5,8-14	160
3.4.8. Summary	160
3.5. Ambiguous expressions as rhetorical devices	164
3.5.1. Introduction	164
3.5.2. The deliberate use of ambiguity in general rhetoric	165
3.5.3. A device for adapting the text to different rhetorical situations	167
3.5.4. A device for overcoming negative expectations	170
3.5.5. The rhetorical dynamics of the ambiguous expressions	173
3.5.6. Conclusion	175
3.6. A synchronic overview	177
4. GENERAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	180
5. BIBLIOGRAPHY	187
5.1. Texts	187
5.2. Literature	189
6. ABBREVIATIONS	205
7. INDICES	206
7.1. 1 Peter	206
7.2. Other ancient texts	208
7.2.1. Biblical texts	208
7.2.2. Other texts	209
7.3. Modern authors	210