

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE . . . . .	xi
ABBREVIATIONS . . . . .	xiii
TEXTS AND TRANSLATIONS. . . . .	xv
INTRODUCTION. . . . .	1
CHAPTER ONE: THE PROBLEM OF THE DIATRIBE . . . . .	7
I. The Study of the Diatribe Before Bultmann . . . . .	7
A. The Discovery and Description of a <i>Gattung</i> . . . . .	7
B. The Diatribe in Judaism and Early Christianity . . . . .	12
II. Rudolf Bultmann's Dissertation . . . . .	17
A. His Treatment of the Diatribe and Romans . . . . .	17
B. Problems and Questions . . . . .	25
III. Critical Survey of Developments After Bultmann . . . . .	26
A. Criticisms of the Older Consensus. . . . .	26
B. Toward a New Consensus . . . . .	32
C. The Diatribe and Judaism . . . . .	39
D. Paul and the Diatribe Since Bultmann . . . . .	41
E. Summary and Conclusions. . . . .	45
IV. Reassessment of Sources and Issues . . . . .	48
A. Sources. . . . .	48
1. Teles and Bion . . . . .	50
2. Epictetus . . . . .	53
3. Musonius Rufus . . . . .	58
4. Dio of Prusa . . . . .	60
5. Plutarch . . . . .	62
6. Maximus of Tyre. . . . .	67
7. Philo. . . . .	68
8. Seneca: Diatribe and Epistle. . . . .	69
B. Conclusions. . . . .	75
CHAPTER TWO: ADDRESS TO THE IMAGINARY INTERLOCUTOR . . . . .	79
I. Introduction . . . . .	79
II. Formal Comparison of Romans and the Diatribe . . . . .	85
A. Addressing the Imaginary Interlocutor: Its Characteristic Components. . . . .	85
B. Addressing the Imaginary Interlocutor: Characteristic Components in Romans. . . . .	93
1. 2:1-5. . . . .	93
2. 2:17-24. . . . .	96

	Page
3. 9:19-21 . . . . .	98
4. 11:17-24 . . . . .	99
5. 14:4. . . . .	100
III. Thematic and Functional Similarities in Romans and the Diatribe . . . . .	100
A. Theme and Function in the Diatribe. . . . .	100
1. Introduction. . . . .	100
2. The Nature of the <i>Topos</i> . . . . .	101
3. Philosophical Context for the Theme of Inconsistency. . . . .	104
4. The Functions of Addressing the Imaginary Interlocutor. . . . .	105
B. The Function of the Phenomenon in Romans. . . . .	110
1. 2:1-5 . . . . .	110
2. 2:17-24 . . . . .	112
3. 9:19-21 . . . . .	113
4. 11:17-24. . . . .	114
5. 14:4 & 10 . . . . .	115
IV. Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	115
CHAPTER THREE: OBJECTIONS AND FALSE CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	119
I. Introduction. . . . .	119
A. An Outline of the Major Features of Objections and False Conclusions in Romans . . . . .	119
B. New Testament Scholarship on Objections and False Conclusions in Romans . . . . .	122
II. The Formal Characteristics of Objections and False Conclusions . . . . .	125
A. Introduction. . . . .	125
B. The Formal Features of Objections and False Conclusions in the Diatribe . . . . .	125
C. The Formal Features of Objections and False Conclusions in Romans . . . . .	133
III. The Functional Characteristics of Objections and False Conclusions. . . . .	138
A. The Functional Characteristics of Objections and False Conclusions in the Diatribe. . . . .	138
1. Objections and False Conclusions in the Pedagogy of the Diatribe. . . . .	138
2. The Function of Objections and False Conclusions in the Structure and Argumentation of the Diatribe . . . . .	140
B. The Function of Objections and False Conclusions in Romans . . . . .	148
C. Conclusions . . . . .	152

	Page
CHAPTER FOUR: DIALOGICAL EXCHANGE AND <i>EXEMPLUM</i> IN 3:27-4:25 . . . . .	155
I. Introduction . . . . .	155
II. The Dialogical Exchange . . . . .	158
A. The Dialogical Exchange in the Diatribe . . . . .	158
B. The Dialogical Exchange in Rom 3:27-4:2 . . . . .	164
III. The Objection with its <i>Exemplum</i> . . . . .	168
A. The Objection with its <i>Exemplum</i> in the Diatribe. . . . .	168
B. The Objection with its <i>Exemplum</i> in Romans 4. . . . .	171
IV. Conclusions. . . . .	174
CONCLUSIONS: PAUL AND THE DIATRIBE . . . . .	175
NOTES . . . . .	185
BIBLIOGRAPHY. . . . .	247