

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements . . . . .	IX
List of Abbreviations . . . . .	XI
I. Introductory Overview . . . . .	1
The Subject of This Study . . . . .	1
The Nature of Our Approach . . . . .	7
The Problem of Mark's Provenance . . . . .	10
II. Fundamental Methodological Considerations . . . . .	15
The Question of the Evangelists' Familiarity with the Jewish Leadership Groups They Present to Us . . . . .	15
The Implications of Matthew's and Luke's Dependence on Mark for Their Portrayal of Certain Jewish Leader- ship Groups . . . . .	18
The Degree of Mark's Dependence on His Sources for His Familiarity with Jewish Leadership Groups . . . . .	28
III. Two Pre-Markan Controversy Collections . . . . .	29
Why Two Camps of Conspirators in Mark? . . . . .	29
M. Albertz' Theory of Two Pre-Markan Controversy Collections . . . . .	31
Assessing Albertz' Proposal . . . . .	34
Redefining the Scope of the Two Proposed Controversy Collections . . . . .	43
IV. The Influence of a Pre-Markan Passion Tradition on Mark's Treatment of Jewish Leadership Groups . . . . .	52
Jewish Leadership Groups in the Pre-Markan Passion Tradition . . . . .	52
Mark's Redactional Procedures . . . . .	58
Assessing the Analysis by P. Winter . . . . .	67
Mark's Redactional Problems in Incorporating the Phar- isee/Herodian Source . . . . .	73
V. Implications for the Historian . . . . .	77
Summary . . . . .	77
Implications of Our Study for the Historian . . . . .	78
Obstacles confronting the historian . . . . .	78

The distinctiveness of the scribes and Pharisees in the Markan treatment . . . . .	81
The interest of historians in the scribes and Pharisees .	83
Scribes and Pharisees in Mark: the significance of our proposals . . . . .	85
Works Consulted . . . . .	98
Index of Authors . . . . .	103