

# The Morphome Debate

---

Edited by

ANA R. LUÍS AND RICARDO BERMÚDEZ-OTERO

**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

---

# Contents

<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	x
1 Introduction	1
<i>Ana R. Luís and Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero</i>	
Part I. Morphomic or not? Diagnosing morphomicity	
2 Unnatural kinds	11
<i>Mark Aronoff</i>	
3 Some lessons from history: Morphemes in diachrony	33
<i>Martin Maiden</i>	
4 Morphomic splits	64
<i>Greville G. Corbett</i>	
5 Thoughts on diagnosing morphomicity: A case study from Ulwa	89
<i>Andrew Koontz-Garboden</i>	
6 The morpheme vs similarity-based syncretism: Latin <i>t</i> -stem derivatives	112
<i>Donca Steriade</i>	
Part II. Autonomous or not? Analysing morphomic patterns	
7 Morphomic categories and the realization of morphosyntactic properties	175
<i>Gregory Stump</i>	
8 Stems, the morpheme, and meaning-bearing inflection	207
<i>Andrew Spencer</i>	
9 Kayardild inflectional morphotactics is morphomic	228
<i>Erich R. Round</i>	
10 Morphomic stem extension and the German <i>n</i> -declension	248
<i>Paolo Acquaviva</i>	
11 On the distribution of stem alternants: Separation and its limits	276
<i>David Embick</i>	

Retrospect and prospect

12 A view of the morpheme debate	309
<i>Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero and Ana R. Luís</i>	

<i>References</i>	341
-------------------	-----

<i>Index</i>	365
--------------	-----