

TRUTH IS A NEIGHBORHOOD WITH NOTHING IN BETWEEN

	(Page:)
. . .truth as is. . .	1
. . .the making of truth. . .	3
. . .keeping something true. . .	5
. . .no enactment, no truth. . .	8
. . .no truth is just there. . .	11
. . .truth in the power of the enactor. . .	13
. . .the telos: atomic and molecular truth. . .	15
. . .truth achieved is phenomenal. . .	17
. . .the lack of truth is nothing. . .	19
. . .all truth belongs to the enactor, no truth is free. . .	20
. . .the functional value of a truth is quantitative and qualitative. . .	22
. . .the power to include is the power to enact. . .	23
. . .to belong, to conclude, to include. . .	24
. . .to belong and to conclude and to include = to determine. . .	27
. . .to achieve means the power-to-truth or the power to determine. . .	29
. . .the fate of the subjectological is in its determination to include. . .	31
. . .wherever there is truth there is also fate. . .	33
. . .fate is the nature of a truth value. . .	34
. . .the job of fate. . .	35

. . .the subjectological on the move is the power to socialize. . .		. . .bringing the sufficiently different to its difference, — or the happening of the law. . .	55
. . .in search for a neighbor, or truth in-the-making. . .	35	. . .to be different or to be within the domain of law and the possible. . .	56
. . .it is the nature of fate (both to begin with and) to end in neighborhoods. . .	37	. . .a value cannot be re-presented. . .	58
. . .to become the truth is the beautiful. . .	38	. . .nothing sufficiently different is, without being brought to its difference. . .	60
. . .being interested is being subject to the gravitation of a truth. . .	39	. . .the enactment of the subjectological is the making of a difference. . .	60
. . .interest and value (of a functional nature) are one and the same. . .	39	. . .there is no time without the making of a difference. . .	61
. . .truth in accordance with values is validity, i.e., the logic of the happening. . .	40	. . .truth follows agreement. . .	63
. . .to be free is to be in accordance with a respective value. . .	41	. . .bringing the sufficiently different into its difference is agreement in comparison. . .	64
. . .freedom in its actualization is the difference in-the-making. . .	42	. . .all difference is enacted by agreement. . .	64
. . .the subjectological differs for the sake of truth. . .	44	. . .to agree is to live. . .	65
. . .to exist is to differ in accordance with. . .	44	. . .it is not agreement if it is not law, and it is not law if it is not accordance. . .	65
. . .in comparison the subjectological comes to truth. . .	45	. . .the impossibility not to make a difference, or the making of a difference as pain. . .	68
. . .to agree is to affirm the sufficiently different. . .	46	. . .all pain is both mediate and caused. . .	70
. . .to agree or to differ in complementation, which is the essence of accordance in the making of (the) truth. . .	47	. . .the impossible is the defeat of intentionality. . .	73
. . .the subjectological in its labors of accordance contributes to oneness in truth. . .	48	. . .the defeat of intentionality is the rejection of truth. . .	74
. . .the labor of the subjectological is law. . .	49	. . .beyond the making of a difference, or the state where a value does not matter. . .	75
. . .law in accordance. . .	51	. . .the logic of the subject (of the sufficiently different) is in its role to matter. . .	76
	52		
	53		

. . .all activity lies between the value and its worth. . .	77	. . .to become a share is to become sufficiently different from. . .	98
. . .the future of a value is (its) worth. . .	77	. . .in sharing the sufficiently different grows into or becomes what it is not. . .	100
. . .the negation of worth is (an) absurd. . .	78	. . .to be a share is to be a part of necessity. . .	101
. . .the bridging of values is worth. . .	79	. . .to be part of necessity is to have a share in necessity. . .	102
. . .worth engages. . .	80	. . .to have a share in necessity is to determine in accordance with the nature of worth. . .	102
. . .worth happens to value. . .	81	. . .worth is. . .	103
. . .worth lies in the agreement of intentionalities. . .	82	. . .there is no freedom to function except in the enactment of a difference. . .	103
. . .the act of determination transcends the functional power of a value. . .	82	. . .to be free is to determine in accordance with the nature of the sufficiently different that has a share in necessity by its enactment of a difference. . .	104
. . .worth determines the self-affirmation of a value. . .	84	. . .only the different is good enough to be true, or more exactly, only the sufficiently different is by necessity, or in truth, sufficiently different from. . .	104
. . .in attaining its worth the value enters consciousness. . .	85	. . .(the self-experience of the) being (of) a cause is being free. . .	104
. . .to be sufficiently different from. . .	87	. . .being free is being a necessary cause of a difference. . .	105
. . .the endorsement of being is an act of affirmation by intentionality. . .	88	. . .being a necessary cause of a difference is to determine the truth. . .	106
. . .to affirm the worth of a value is the intentionality to share. . .	89	. . .there is no less than the making of a difference. . .	107
. . .to function as worth is the experience of being shared. . .	90	. . .to matter is to count or to be counted as a difference. . .	107
. . .nothing but worth is shared. . .	91	. . .to matter is to be of worth to a difference. . .	108
. . .the appearance of a value is the establishment of its worth or the actualization of a difference. . .	92		
. . .the intentionality to share is the making of a difference. . .	93		
. . .to be (sufficiently different) is to have (a share). . .	94		
. . .to share and to be shared. . .	96		
. . .the impossibility: to share and not to enact the truth. . .	97		

. . .to matter is to be justified. . .	108
. . .the intent to matter is in accordance with the nature of a given difference. . .	109
. . .to matter in accordance (with the nature of a difference) is to hunger for (the) worth (of the nature of another difference). . .	110
. . .to justify is to satisfy. . .	110
. . .it is the logic of the nature of a difference to be justified by mattering. . .	111
. . .whatever is in accordance with the nature of any one difference matters in the enactment of truth. . .	112
. . .within the self-realization of truth, any nature (of a difference) achieves self-accordance. . .	112
. . .ethos, or that self-realization that is self-accordance.	112
. . .all self-realization is the creative affirmation of an ethos. . .	113
. . .no affirmation can stand alone. . .	114
. . .no individual ethos can stand alone. . .	115
. . .the creative affirmation of an ethos which cannot stand alone or outside of the enactment of a totality of differences. . .	116
Bibliography: Complete Listing of Works Cited in Footnotes	117
Index of Names and Titles Occurring in the Footnotes	124