

# Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
2	A feature-based description of derivational processes and the organization of the Minimalist Lexicon (MinLex) ..	4
2.1	The theoretical framework .....	4
2.2	Arguments in favour of a morpheme-based theory of word-formation.....	11
2.3	Restrictions imposed on free morphemes by suffixes .....	13
2.3.1	The lexical properties of the suffix <i>-ism</i> .....	14
2.3.1.1	The orthographic and phonological representation .....	14
2.3.1.2	Formal features .....	19
2.3.1.3	The subcategorization frame.....	20
2.3.1.4	The semantic representation .....	28
2.3.1.5	Derivational class.....	30
2.3.2	Further examples: the suffixes <i>-ous</i> and <i>-al</i> .....	34
2.4	The compatibility of suffixes with bound morphemes .....	36
2.4.1	The synchronic perspective.....	41
2.4.1.1	Previous approaches .....	42
2.4.1.2	New Proposals .....	45
2.4.2	The optional diachronic perspective.....	49
3	The Greek and Latin heritage: neoclassical compounds .....	59
3.1	Models of description .....	60
3.1.1	Constituents as affixes .....	60
3.1.2	Constituents as combining forms.....	61
3.1.3	Constituents as bound stems .....	62
3.2	The status of neoclassical words revised.....	64
3.2.1	Derivatives.....	64
3.2.2	Regular compounds .....	66
3.2.3	Compounds at a different level .....	71
3.2.3.1	Neoclassical compounds and neoclassical compound derivatives .....	72
3.2.3.2	Hybrid formations.....	74
3.2.3.3	A phonological problem and possible solutions .....	80
3.3	The lexical representation and combination of the morphemes from classical languages.....	86
3.3.1	Orthographical and phonological representations .....	86
3.3.2	Formal features .....	88

3.3.3	Configuration frames and the distribution of thematic vowels .....	91
4	Summary .....	104
	Bibliography .....	107