

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Toward a theory of language generation and communication . . . . .	1
1.2 The need for a general planning mechanism . . . . .	4
1.3 A theory of language generation based on planning . . . . .	6
1.4 The goals of this research . . . . .	10
<b>2 An overview of related research</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2 Language generation . . . . .	13
2.3 Goals and plans: Their influence on utterances . . . . .	18
2.4 Planning, problem solving, and knowledge representation . . . . .	20
2.5 Philosophy and linguistics . . . . .	21
<b>3 Representing knowledge about intensional concepts</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	23
3.2 Approaches to reasoning about knowledge and belief . . . . .	25
3.3 Representing knowledge in the possible-worlds formalism . . . . .	28
3.4 Knowing the denotation of a description . . . . .	36
3.5 Representing the relationship between knowledge and action . . . . .	37

3.6	Representing mutual knowledge . . . . .	44
3.7	Reasoning about intention . . . . .	47
3.8	Conclusion . . . . .	53
<b>4</b>	<b>Planning to affect an agent's mental state</b>	<b>55</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	55
4.2	Planning systems . . . . .	56
4.3	The NOAH planner . . . . .	57
4.4	The problems of planning to affect mental states . . . . .	60
4.5	Planning within the possible-worlds formalism . . . . .	62
4.6	Hierarchical planning with KAMP . . . . .	66
4.7	KAMP's data structures . . . . .	67
4.8	How KAMP formulates a plan . . . . .	71
4.9	An example of planning to affect knowledge . . . . .	73
4.10	Why implement a planning system? . . . . .	80
4.11	Conclusion . . . . .	82
<b>5</b>	<b>Planning illocutionary acts</b>	<b>83</b>
5.1	What is a speech act? . . . . .	83
5.2	The role of illocutionary acts in speech act theory . . . . .	88
5.3	Formalizing Illocutionary Acts . . . . .	89
5.4	Planning questions . . . . .	95
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	97
<b>6</b>	<b>Planning surface linguistic acts</b>	<b>99</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	99
6.2	Surface speech acts . . . . .	100
6.3	The role of grammatical knowledge . . . . .	101
6.4	Unification grammar and functional descriptions . . . . .	103
6.5	The TELEGRAM grammar . . . . .	108
6.6	Axiomatizing surface speech acts . . . . .	112
6.7	Concept activation and referring actions . . . . .	114
6.8	Focusing and pronominalization . . . . .	118
6.9	Action subsumption . . . . .	121
6.10	Planning indirect speech acts . . . . .	124
6.11	Conclusion . . . . .	126

<b>7</b>	<b>Utterance planning: an example</b>	<b>129</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	129
7.2	The problem and the domain . . . . .	130
7.3	Details of the grammar . . . . .	135
7.4	Planning the utterance . . . . .	137
7.5	Conclusion . . . . .	150
<b>8</b>	<b>Utterance planning: the future</b>	<b>151</b>
8.1	What has been accomplished . . . . .	151
8.2	What remains to be done . . . . .	153
8.2.1	Relationship between action hierarchy levels . . . . .	153
8.2.2	Formalizing action subsumption . . . . .	154
8.2.3	Enlarging the repertoire of linguistic actions . . . . .	155
8.2.4	Analysis of description identification and referring expressions . . . . .	155
8.2.5	Extended discourse . . . . .	156
8.2.6	Efficiency issues . . . . .	157
8.3	Conclusion . . . . .	158
	<b>Notes</b>	<b>159</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>161</b>