

Optimization of Tax Sovereignty and Free Movement

Sjoerd Douma

Thesis
submitted to Leiden University
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Laws

Degree awarded on 6 October 2011



Volume 21, Doctoral Series
IBFD – Academic Council

Table of Contents

Preface	v
List of Abbreviations	ix

Part I Introduction

Chapter 1: Scope, Structure and Purpose of this Study	3
1.1. The conflict between tax sovereignty and free movement	3
1.2. A wide variety of criticism	4
1.3. Towards a theoretical assessment model	6
1.4. Methodology	6
Chapter 2: Severe Criticism on the ECJ in Direct Tax Matters	9
2.1. Introduction	9
2.2. The concept of a “restriction” on free movement in direct tax cases	10
2.2.1. Introduction	10
2.2.2. The scope of a Member State’s direct tax jurisdiction	10
2.2.3. Consequences of the overlaps and limitations of direct tax jurisdiction	13
2.2.4. ECJ case law on disparities, double taxation and discrimination	13
2.2.5. Criticism of the ECJ: Reluctance to solve double taxation and failure to recognize “dislocations”	19
2.3. Justification analysis in direct tax cases	24
2.4. Proportionality analysis in direct tax cases	26
2.5. Conclusion	27

Part II The Theoretical Optimization Model

Chapter 3: Introduction and Account of the Choice of the Model	31
---	----

Chapter 4: Optimization of Competing Principles	39
4.1. A theory of principles: Introduction	39
4.2. A distinction between rules and principles	40
4.3. The nature of a principle implies its widest possible scope	46
4.4. The limits to principles; no “compelling interest” test	48
4.5. Proportionality analysis: Principles as optimization requirements	52
4.5.1. Introduction	52
4.5.2. Respectful aim	54
4.5.3. Suitability	59
4.5.4. Necessity	60
4.5.5. Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	63
4.5.6. Two concluding remarks	66
4.6. The principles of good faith and systemic integration in public international law	66
4.7. Conclusion	72
Chapter 5: The Principle of Direct Tax Sovereignty	79
5.1. Sovereignty as a principle	79
5.2. Direct tax jurisdiction	83
5.2.1. Legislative jurisdiction under customary international law	83
5.2.2. Allocation of jurisdiction in tax treaties	85
5.2.3. Self-imposed unilateral limitations on jurisdiction	86
5.2.4. Consequences of the overlaps and limitations of direct tax jurisdiction	87
5.3. Equality of nation states, the reserved domain and the principle of non-intervention	91
5.4. Freedom to determine the organization and aims of the tax system within the domestic jurisdiction	93
5.4.1. Introduction	93
5.4.2. Measures of general income tax policy	96
5.4.3. Measures of general economic policy	102
5.5. Taxpayer equity and inter-nation equity	104
5.6. Conclusion	105
Chapter 6: The Principle of Free Movement	107
6.1. Free movement as a principle	107
6.2. Aims of the internal market	108
6.3. A prima facie prohibition of obstacles to free movement	111

6.4.	A prima facie prohibition of discrimination on grounds of nationality	113
6.5.	A prima facie prohibition on grounds of the legal form of an establishment	115
6.6.	Conclusion	115
Chapter 7: A Theoretical Optimization Model for Direct Taxation Cases		117
7.1.	Introduction	117
7.2.	The theoretical optimization model	118
7.3.	Disadvantage identification	119
7.3.1.	Why a “disadvantage” test?	119
7.3.2.	Disadvantages: Some examples	121
7.3.3.	Disparities	123
7.3.4.	Conclusions	124
7.4.	The requirement of a respectful aim	124
7.4.1.	The idea of a twofold neutrality	124
7.4.2.	Taxation as such	126
7.4.3.	International juridical double taxation	129
7.4.4.	General measures of tax policy	130
7.4.5.	General measures of economic policy	133
7.4.6.	Conclusion	133
7.5.	Suitability	134
7.6.	Over- and underinclusiveness, or the assessment of the degree of fit	135
7.7.	Subsidiarity	135
7.8.	Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	137
7.9.	Conclusion	140
Part III		
Optimization by the ECJ in Direct Taxation Cases		
Chapter 8: Optimization by the ECJ in Direct Tax Cases: Assessment of Current Practice and Future Developments		147
8.1.	Introduction	147
8.2.	Disadvantage identification or the scope of the principle of free movement in direct taxation cases	148
8.2.1.	Current ECJ case law on direct taxation	148
8.2.1.1.	Introductory remarks	148
8.2.1.2.	Disparities	150

8.2.1.3. International juridical double taxation	158
8.2.1.4. Discrimination	161
8.2.1.5. Non-discriminatory tax obstacles	179
8.2.2. Relation to the theoretical optimization model	190
8.2.2.1. A disadvantage test?	190
8.2.2.2. Disparities	191
8.2.2.3. International juridical double taxation	191
8.2.2.4. Measures for the avoidance of international juridical double taxation	194
8.2.2.5. Discrimination	197
8.2.2.6. No dislocations	204
8.2.2.7. Non-discriminatory tax measures	204
8.2.3. Future developments	205
8.2.3.1. Introduction	205
8.2.3.2. Moving away from a comparability test	205
8.2.3.3. Non-discriminatory tax obstacles to free movement	206
8.3. The requirement of a respectful aim	228
8.3.1. Current ECJ case law on direct taxation	228
8.3.1.1. Introduction	228
8.3.1.2. Aim determination and plurality of objectives	228
8.3.1.3. Directly discriminatory tax rules	233
8.3.1.4. Other restrictive tax rules: Legitimate objective and overriding reasons	234
8.3.2. Relation to the theoretical optimization model	247
8.3.2.1. Introduction	247
8.3.2.2. Aim determination and a plurality of objectives	248
8.3.2.3. Direct tax measures that are disrespectful towards free movement	249
8.3.2.4. Situations where free movement is disrespectful towards tax sovereignty	255
8.3.2.5. Situations where tax sovereignty and free movement respect each other	262
8.3.3. Future developments	264
8.4. Suitability	265
8.4.1. Current ECJ case law on direct taxation	265
8.4.2. Relation to the theoretical optimization model	268
8.4.3. Future developments	269
8.5. The degree of fit	269
8.5.1. Current ECJ case law on direct taxation	269
8.5.2. Relation to the theoretical optimization model	271
8.5.3. Future developments	273
8.6. Subsidiarity	273

8.6.1.	Current ECJ case law on direct taxation	273
8.6.2.	Relation to the theoretical optimization model	277
8.6.3.	Future developments	279
8.7.	Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	279
8.7.1.	Current ECJ case law on direct taxation	279
8.7.2.	Relation to the theoretical optimization model	285
8.7.3.	Future developments	286
8.8.	Conclusion	286
Part IV		
Conclusions		
Chapter 9:	Summary and Conclusions	293
Appendix:	Table of Direct Taxation and Free Movement Cases under the Theoretical Optimization Model	303
References		309
Other Titles in the IBFD Doctoral Series		339