

The Missing Keystone of Income Tax Treaties

Joanna Wheeler

Thesis
submitted to the University of Amsterdam
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Laws

Degree awarded on 25 April 2012



Volume 23
IBFD Doctoral Series

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	v
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Issues and Solutions within the Current Treaty Framework	3
2.1. Introduction	3
2.2. The treaty claimant	4
2.3. Residence	6
2.3.1. The general definition – unlimited liability to tax	6
2.3.2. Tax-exempt persons	7
2.3.3. Liability to which tax?	9
2.3.4. The policy behind the residence requirement	9
2.3.5. Conclusion	12
2.4. Attribution of income	13
2.4.1. Domestic law	13
2.4.2. The OECD Model	17
2.4.3. Beneficial ownership	19
2.4.4. Partnership Report principles	23
2.4.5. Concluded treaties	25
2.4.6. Conclusion	29
Chapter 3: Fundamental Issues with the Current Structure of Treaties	33
3.1. Introduction	33
3.2. Subjective/objective nature of treaties	33
3.2.1. OECD Model	33
3.2.2. Economic double taxation – the subjective or objective nature of the treaty distributive rules	35
3.2.3. Vicarious treaty benefits	40
3.2.4. Conclusion	42
3.3. Liability to tax on a specific item of income	43
3.3.1. Liability to tax on specific income as a negative factor	43
3.3.2. Liability to tax on specific income as a positive factor	44
3.3.3. Separation of ownership and tax liability	46
3.3.4. Multiple attributions – or none	49
3.3.5. Role of attribution in treaty entitlement	51
3.4. Conclusion – the missing keystone	52

Chapter 4: A New Approach	55
4.1. Introduction	55
4.1.1. The new approach in outline	56
4.1.2. Supporting factors – margins of discretion	58
4.2. The treaty claimant	60
4.2.1. In general	60
4.2.2. Permanent establishments	62
4.3. Liability to tax	64
4.3.1. The basic principle	64
4.3.2. The sufficiency of the tax liability	67
4.3.3. Fragmented and dislocated tax liability	68
4.4. The supporting factors in the residence state	70
4.4.1. The connection between the income and the person	71
4.4.2. The connection between the person and the state	73
4.4.3. Business receipts	78
4.5. Treaty protection without liability to tax	79
4.5.1. Tax-exempt persons and income	80
4.5.2. Potential liability to tax	81
4.6. The residence state	83
4.7. Attribution conflicts in the new approach	85
4.7.1. Hierarchy of attributions	86
4.7.2. The triangular case	87
4.7.3. Source and residence state attributions	88
4.7.4. Permanent establishments	90
4.7.5. Double attribution within one state	91
4.8. Fragmented treaty entitlement	92
4.8.1. Separation of direct ownership and tax liability	92
4.8.2. Fragments within one state	94
4.8.3. Fragments in different states	96
4.9. Artificial ownership structures	97
4.9.1. Introduction	97
4.9.2. Conduit structures	98
4.9.3. Base erosion	101
4.9.4. Identification of the target structures	102
4.9.5. Solutions	103
Chapter 5: The New Approach Applied	107
5.1. Flow-through situations	107
5.1.1. Case 4600	107
5.1.2. Ruling 17 of 2006	109

5.1.3.	<i>Diebold case</i>	110
5.2.	Double attributions	112
5.2.1.	<i>Aznavour case</i>	112
5.2.1.1.	The actual decision	113
5.2.1.2.	The new approach	114
5.2.2.	<i>Russell case and Willoughby case</i>	115
5.2.2.1.	The actual decisions	116
5.2.2.2.	The new approach applied to the <i>Russell</i> and <i>Willoughby</i> cases	118
5.2.2.3.	The further consequences of the new approach in the <i>Russell case</i>	120
5.2.3.	<i>Padmore case</i>	123
5.2.3.1.	The actual decision	123
5.2.3.2.	The new approach	124
5.2.4.	<i>Smallwood case</i>	125
5.2.4.1.	The actual decision	125
5.2.4.2.	The new approach	128
5.2.5.	<i>Bayfine case</i>	131
5.2.6.	CFC and comparable regimes	133
5.3.	Fragmented treaty entitlement	135
5.3.1.	Fragmentation within one state	136
5.3.1.1.	<i>TD Securities case</i>	136
5.3.1.2.	S-corporation case	138
5.3.1.3.	<i>Linklaters case</i>	139
5.3.2.	Cross-border fragmentation	141
5.3.2.1.	BNB 1990/45	141
5.3.2.2.	The new approach	142
5.3.3.	Other fragmentations	144
5.4.	Trusts	145
5.4.1.	Introduction	145
5.4.2.	Different domestic systems	146
5.4.2.1.	Representative liability	148
5.4.2.2.	Initial choice system	149
5.4.2.3.	Credit system	150
5.4.2.4.	Deduction system	152
5.4.3.	Treaty entitlement of trustees	153
5.4.4.	Settlor taxation	156
Chapter 6:	Conclusion	159
6.1.	The problems with the current approach	159
6.2.	The new approach	160

Table of Contents

6.2.1.	The essence of the new approach	160
6.2.2.	Issues with the new approach	162
6.3.	The way forward	163
Appendix I: Draft Treaty Text and Commentary		165
Appendix II: Domestic Law of the Netherlands and the United Kingdom in Respect of the Attribution of Income to a Person		183
1.	Introduction	183
1.1.	The aim of this study	183
1.2.	The scope of the study	185
1.3.	Structure of the study	187
2.	Basic principles in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom	187
2.1.	Introduction	187
2.2.	The Netherlands	190
2.3.	The United Kingdom	194
2.3.1.	Background	194
2.3.2.	Attribution criteria	196
3.	Income from assets	199
3.1.	The importance of ownership	200
3.1.1.	Gains from the disposal of an asset	201
3.1.2.	Income from an asset	203
3.1.2.1.	The Netherlands	204
3.1.2.2.	The United Kingdom	206
3.1.3.	Contractual arrangements	208
3.2.	Divided ownership	209
3.2.1.	Trusts	210
3.2.1.1.	The trust concept	210
3.2.1.2.	Taxation in the United Kingdom – basic principles	211
3.2.1.3.	Taxation in the United Kingdom – further consideration	213
3.2.1.4.	Taxation in the Netherlands	218
3.2.2.	Usufruct	221
3.2.3.	Economic ownership	223
3.2.3.1.	The Netherlands	223
3.2.3.2.	The United Kingdom	227
3.2.3.3.	Beneficial ownership in the United Kingdom	229
3.3.	Short-term ownership of shares	230
3.3.1.	Case law responses	231
3.3.2.	Netherlands legislative response	233

3.3.3.	UK legislative response	235
3.4.	Indirect ownership and ownership equivalents	236
3.4.1.	The Netherlands	236
3.4.1.1.	Certification of shares	236
3.4.1.2.	Interposed companies	237
3.4.1.3.	Foundations	242
3.4.2.	The United Kingdom	243
3.4.2.1.	Capital gains	243
3.4.2.2.	Recurrent income – CFC regime and “transfer of assets abroad” scheme	246
3.5.	Separation of income from the asset	248
3.5.1.	Gift of income	249
3.5.1.1.	The Netherlands	249
3.5.1.2.	The United Kingdom	251
3.5.2.	Sale of income	255
3.5.2.1.	The Netherlands	255
3.5.2.2.	The United Kingdom	256
4.	Active income	258
4.1.	Employment income	258
4.1.1.	Payment to a person other than the employee	259
4.1.1.1.	The Netherlands	260
4.1.1.2.	The United Kingdom	261
4.1.2.	Alienation of employment income	262
4.1.3.	Personal companies (disguised employment)	264
4.1.3.1.	The Netherlands	265
4.1.3.2.	The United Kingdom	266
4.2.	Business profit	267
4.2.1.	Basic approaches	267
4.2.2.	The importance of carrying on the business	269
4.2.3.	Enabling another person to make a business profit	271
4.2.4.	Carrying on a business in order to benefit another person	273
4.2.5.	Shifting business profit	275
4.2.5.1.	The Netherlands	275
4.2.5.2.	The United Kingdom	278
4.2.6.	Integrated businesses	280
4.2.6.1.	The Netherlands	281
4.2.6.2.	The United Kingdom	282
4.2.6.3.	Comparison	283
5.	Factors in the attribution of income	284
5.1.	Legal entitlement	285
5.1.1.	Introduction	285

Table of Contents

5.1.2.	The Netherlands	286
5.1.2.1.	Dividend tax	286
5.1.2.2.	Employment income	287
5.1.2.3.	Other categories of income	288
5.1.3.	The United Kingdom	290
5.1.3.1.	Capital gains tax and corporation tax	290
5.1.3.2.	Income tax	292
5.2.	Economic entitlement	294
5.2.1.	Introduction	294
5.2.2.	The Netherlands	295
5.2.2.1.	Dividend tax	295
5.2.2.2.	General principle	296
5.2.2.3.	Whose benefit?	298
5.2.3.	The United Kingdom	299
5.2.3.1.	Capital gains tax and corporation tax	299
5.2.3.2.	Income tax	300
5.2.3.3.	Whose benefit?	302
5.3.	Receipt	304
5.3.1.	Introduction	304
5.3.2.	What is receipt?	304
5.3.2.1.	What is a payment?	304
5.3.2.2.	Indirect receipt	305
5.3.2.3.	Constructive receipt	307
5.3.3.	Receipt as an attribution factor	308
5.3.3.1.	The Netherlands	308
5.3.3.2.	The United Kingdom	309
5.4.	Control	312
5.4.1.	Introduction	312
5.4.2.	Control over the creation of income	313
5.4.3.	Control over the selection of beneficiaries	315
5.4.4.	Control over assets	316
5.4.5.	Control over the application of income	319
5.4.5.1.	Whether there is taxable income	319
5.4.5.2.	Control over application as an attribution factor	321
5.4.5.3.	Structural limits on control over the application of income	324
5.4.5.4.	Obligations attached to income	326
5.5.	Alienation of income	328
5.5.1.	Which types of income can be alienated?	328
5.5.2.	When is alienation effective for tax purposes?	331
5.5.2.1.	General requirements	331
5.5.2.2.	Sale of income – the relevance of the sale price	333

5.5.2.3.	Alienation of a stream of income or of a specific payment of income	335
5.5.3.	Does an obligation to pay income amount to alienation?	338
5.5.3.1.	The Netherlands	338
5.5.3.2.	The United Kingdom	341
6.	Taxable persons	345
6.1.	Introduction	345
6.2.	No taxable person	346
6.2.1.	The Netherlands	346
6.2.1.1.	General principle	346
6.2.1.2.	Dividend tax	348
6.2.2.	The United Kingdom	350
6.3.	More than one taxable person	351
6.3.1.	The Netherlands	351
6.3.2.	The United Kingdom	353
6.3.2.1.	Introduction	353
6.3.2.2.	Anti-avoidance legislation	354
6.3.2.3.	General attribution rule	355
6.3.2.4.	Basic rate and higher rates of income tax	361
7.	Conclusion	365
7.1.	Similarities and differences	365
7.2.	Attribution factors	368
7.3.	The difficulty of defining attribution principles	370
7.4.	A final word on the international dimension	371
	Summary	375
	Samenvatting	383
	Bibliography	393
	Table of Cases	411
	Table of Legislation and Official Documents	425