

SEMANTIC PLURALITY

ENGLISH COLLECTIVE NOUNS
AND OTHER WAYS OF DENOTING
PLURALITIES OF ENTITIES

LAURE GARDELLE
Université Grenoble Alpes / LIDILEM

JOHN BENJAMINS PUBLISHING COMPANY
AMSTERDAM & PHILADELPHIA

Table of contents

CHAPTER 1

Introduction: Why study semantic plurality and pluralities of entities?	1
1.1 What is a plurality of entities? 5	
1.1.1 'Plurality' compared with 'aggregate' and 'set' 5	
1.1.2 Do collective nouns denote pluralities?: The concept of 'internal plurality' 7	
1.1.3 Do plural NPs denote pluralities?: The singularist approach 9	
1.1.4 List of linguistic means available to denote pluralities of entities 10	
1.2 Advantages of a semantic /plurality/ feature over the /number/ feature 11	
1.3 Why take collective nouns as a starting point? 13	
1.3.1 Collective nouns as the problematic backbone of references to pluralities 13	
1.3.2 A long tradition of deceptively simple descriptions in grammars and dictionaries 15	
1.3.3 A number of unsolved issues in recent studies 18	
1.3.4 Leading questions for this volume 20	
1.4 A preliminary list of pre-requisites for 'collective nouns' 21	
1.4.1 A relation between 'units' and a 'collective whole' 21	
1.4.2 A plurality resulting from a grouping operation 22	
1.4.3 A specific type of part/whole relation 23	
1.4.3.1 Unprototypical meronymy 23	
1.4.3.2 Collective wholes distinguished from particulate masses 23	
1.4.3.3 Meronymy distinguished from taxonomy, despite the shared notion of 'members' 24	
1.4.4 A /plurality/ feature at lexical level – from whole sense to facet of meaning 25	
1.5 Outline of the book 26	

CHAPTER 2

- Hybrid agreement: Motivations, nature and constraints** 27
- 2.1 The boundary issue: Hybrid agreement as a defining feature of collective nouns? 28
- 2.2 The nature of hybrid agreement as an argument against the status of defining feature 30
- 2.2.1 Description of hybrid agreement with animate count collective nouns 30
- 2.2.2 The argument of hybrid agreement in gender systems 33
- 2.2.3 Hybrid agreement as a superimposed effect of the universal Animacy Hierarchy 33
- 2.2.4 Consequence: The singular as the default agreement pattern 34
- 2.3 Construal with hybrid agreement: Motivation and factors of opacification 35
- 2.3.1 Fundamental motivation: A double layer of conceptualisation 35
- 2.3.2 Opacification factor 1: The status of default number of the singular 37
- 2.3.3 Opacification factor 2: Differences in the behaviour of individual nouns 38
- 2.3.4 Opacification factor 3: cross-regional differences 42
- 2.3.4.1 American English vs. British English 42
- 2.3.4.2 Other varieties of English 47
- 2.3.5 Opacification factor 4: Genre and stylistic variation 48
- 2.3.6 Opacification factor 5: Assessing the hypothesis of a diachronic evolution towards more singular 49
- 2.4 Conclusion on hybrid agreement in relation to the definition of collective nouns 51

CHAPTER 3

- Establishing the boundaries of 'collective nouns' for count nouns** 53
- 3.1 Further construal characteristics of collective nouns that license hybrid agreement 54
- 3.1.1 Cohesion of the units 55
- 3.1.2 A bounded plurality 55
- 3.1.3 Non additivity considered further: Extreme heterogeneity in the degrees of permeability 57
- 3.1.4 Collective nouns, senses and facets: Hybrid agreement does not guarantee a collective 'noun' 61
- 3.1.4.1 Distinction between lexicon-based and discourse-based collective reference 62

3.1.4.2	Exclusions from the class of collective nouns	64
3.1.4.3	Summary: Types of 'collectiveness' and consequences for hybrid agreement	67
3.1.5	'Units of the same type' not found to be a prerequisite	68
3.2	Boundaries of the class of count collective nouns for humans	69
3.2.1	Exclusion of <i>enemy</i>	69
3.2.2	Exclusion of the taxon/exemplar relation: The case of <i>race</i>	70
3.2.3	Inclusion of underdetermined nouns (e.g. <i>group</i>)	72
3.2.4	A list of human count collective nouns	73
3.2.4.1	Data collection procedure	73
3.2.4.2	A list of count collective nouns for humans	73
3.3	Boundaries of the class of count collective nouns for animals	75
3.3.1	From <i>flocks</i> and <i>herds</i> to <i>auroras</i> of polar bears: Are all these collective?	76
3.3.1.1	Preliminary overview of count nouns used to denote pluralities of animals	76
3.3.1.2	Only a minority of these nouns are actually collective	77
3.3.2	Exclusion of the taxon/exemplar relation: The case of <i>breed</i> and <i>species</i>	80
3.3.2.1	Literal uses for animals	80
3.3.2.2	Metaphorical extensions: Comparison with <i>kind</i> and <i>generation</i>	81
3.3.3	Inclusion of count uses of <i>fauna</i>	83
3.3.4	A list of count collective nouns for animals	83
3.4	Count collective nouns that denote pluralities of inanimates	84
3.4.1	Inclusions and exclusions: A closer look at potential problem cases	84
3.4.1.1	Books and other written documents (e.g. 'anthology')	85
3.4.1.2	Nouns that denote networks	87
3.4.1.3	Durations (e.g. 'week')	87
3.4.1.4	'Alphabet'	88
3.4.2	A list of inanimate count collective nouns that may occur without an <i>of</i> -complement	88
3.4.3	A comparison between inanimate and animate count collective nouns	89
3.5	The boundaries of collective nouns among N1s in binominal NPs	90
3.5.1	From 'pseudo-partitives' to the 'organised plurality construction'	91
3.5.2	Meaning relations when N1 is uncontroversially collective	94
3.5.3	Extension 1: Collective nouns in metaphorical uses are still collective	95

3.5.4	Extension 2: Loss of collective status in rare cases of reanalysis of the construction	97
3.5.5	Application to NIs that are not of collective origin: Rejection of collective status	98
3.6	Conclusion	100
CHAPTER 4		
	A comparison between NPs headed by count collective nouns and NPs whose /plurality/ feature is acquired in discourse	103
4.1	NPs headed by a count noun in the plural	104
4.1.1	The morphosyntactic plural: Discourse feature or component of lexical matter?	104
4.1.2	Construal of pluralities when the plural morpheme is -s: Distinction between 'collective' and 'cohesive'	106
4.1.3	Construal of pluralities with the zero plural morpheme: A form of collectivisation?	108
4.1.3.1	∅ as a morpheme: (<i>These</i>) <i>elephant, aspirin, (ten) crew</i> and others	108
4.1.3.2	The result of two different coercion processes: Rejection of the notion of 'internal plural'	112
4.1.3.3	These pluralities are not collective – resulting labels	117
4.2	Other ways of denoting pluralities of units through discourse-acquired features	120
4.2.1	Conjoined NPs	120
4.2.2	Partly substantivised adjectives	123
4.2.2.1	A four-stage gradient of nominalisation	124
4.2.2.2	The result of pressure to categorise individuals	127
4.2.2.3	What construal of the pluralities?: A gradient from aggregates to groupings	128
4.2.2.4	Competing solutions for the same adjectives	128
4.2.3	Quantifier + singular count noun	130
4.3	Conclusion	131
CHAPTER 5		
	Non-count singular nouns with a /plurality/ feature	133
5.1	A note on terminological choices	134
5.1.1	'Non-count' as a matter of variety of English	134
5.1.2	'Non-count' rather than 'mass'	135
5.1.3	Non-count nouns as carrying number: 'lexical' vs. 'morphosyntactic' number	137

- 5.2 The terms of the debate: Construal differences between furniture nouns and count collective nouns 140
- 5.2.1 Introduction: Historical perspective 140
- 5.2.2 Construal differences between *furniture* nouns and other singular non-count nouns 142
- 5.2.3 Construal differences between *furniture* nouns and count collective nouns 143
- 5.2.4 Construal differences between *furniture* nouns and N-s 145
- 5.3 *Furniture* nouns as superordinate aggregate nouns: non-taxonomic hyperonyms of plural classes 147
- 5.3.1 Superordinate aggregates 147
- 5.3.2 Non-taxonomic hyperonyms 148
- 5.3.3 The 'hyperonym of plural classes' hypothesis 150
- 5.3.4 A typology of nouns that denote aggregates of heterogeneous entities 153
- 5.3.4.1 Tentative list 153
- 5.3.4.2 A note on number variation: Reanalyses 154
- 5.4 Extension to other non-count nouns that denote pluralities of entities 154
- 5.4.1 Other inanimates: Pluralities of homogeneous entities 155
- 5.4.2 Animals 158
- 5.4.3 Humans 160
- 5.4.3.1 *Management* and other departments? 160
- 5.4.3.2 *Mankind, humankind* and *humanity*? 161
- 5.5 Conclusion 164

CHAPTER 6

Lexical plurals that denote pluralities of entities 167

- 6.1 Overview and typology of lexical plurals that denote pluralities of entities 168
- 6.1.1 *Crew, people* and other originally count collective nouns 169
- 6.1.2 Morphologically-marked lexical plurals 169
- 6.1.2.1 Nouns with a lexical plural marker -s 170
- 6.1.2.2 Nouns with a Latin plural ending 170
- 6.1.2.3 Remarkable lack of stability of the plural number 171
- 6.1.3 *Cattle* as an odd-one-out? 174

6.2	These lexical plurals as aggregate nouns	174
6.2.1	<i>Cattle</i> : An aggregate noun resulting from coercion of a singular aggregate noun	174
6.2.2	Other nouns that denote entities of different kinds	176
6.2.2.1	Hyperonyms of plural classes	176
6.2.2.2	Lack of interest in the individual units: Aggregate nouns	177
6.3	Lexical plurals vs. N-s or singular non-count nouns: Construal and morphological 'attractors'	179
6.3.1	Preference for lexical plurals over N-s	179
6.3.2	Preference for lexical plurals over non-count singular nouns	180
6.4	Conclusion	183
CHAPTER 7		
	General conclusion	185
7.1	The Scale of Unit Integration for pluralities of entities	186
7.2	Challenges for further research	190
7.2.1	The relation of morphosyntactic number to the lexical level	190
7.2.2	Other types of pluralities	191
	References	193
	General index	207
	Lexical index	211