

Contents

Preface	ix
-------------------	----

PART ONE

NECESSARILY SOMEHOW ACTUALIZED:

ANSELM'S PROOF IN NEW PERSPECTIVE	3
1. Blunder or Discovery?	3
2. The Overestimation of Gaunilo	18
3. What the Proof Claims to Prove	22
4. The Definition of God: a Dilemma	25
5. Neoclassical Resolution of the Dilemma	28
6. Existence a Predicate?	33
7. The Second or Strong Form of the Proof	33
8. Malcolm and Findlay: a Fresh Start?	36
9. The Necessary is Abstract	41
10. In What Sense the Proof is Inconclusive	48
11. Predicates, Individuals, and States	49
12. The Role of Faith	53
13. Is the Proof Platonic?	55
14. A Theory of Modality	60
15. Contingency and Observability	62
16. The Proof and Logical Rules	65
17. Anselm's Appeal to Rules	70
18. Refutation of Some Refutations	73
19. The Argument of <i>Proslogium III</i>	85
20. <i>Proslogium II, III</i> , and Anselm's Principle	99

21. Definite Thought Is about Something	106
22. The Proof and Pantheism	108
23. Some Recent Criticisms of the Proof	110
24. The Proof and the Other Theistic Arguments	134

PART TWO

A CRITICAL SURVEY OF RESPONSES TO

ANSELM'S PROOF	137
1. Anticipations of the Proof	139
2. A Strange Story	149
3. Gaunilo	150
4. The Scholastics: St. Thomas	154
5. Descartes, Gassendi, and Hobbes	164
6. Spinoza	173
7. Ralph Cudworth	176
8. Leibniz	178
9. Hume	201
10. Kant	208
11. Hegel	234
12. Ludwig Feuerbach	237
13. Robert Flint	238
14. W. E. Hocking, Josiah Royce, and George Santayana	240
15. R. G. Collingwood	250
16. Hans Reichenbach	253
17. J. N. Findlay	255
18. Robert S. Hartman	261
19. Jan Berg	265
20. Jerome Shaffer	267
21. Heinrich Scholz and Frederic Fitch	278
22. Conclusions	297
Bibliography	305
Acknowledgments	311
Index of Names	313
Index of Topics	319